

JPB CAC

CORRESPONDENCE
AS OF

February 14, 2023

Givens, Patrice

From: Roland Lebrun <ccss@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 11:29 AM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin; SFCTA Board Secretary; MTABoard@SFMTA.com; Board (@caltrain.com); Transbay Info; SFCTA CAC; cac@sfmta.com; TJPA CAC; cacsecretary [@caltrain.com]
Subject: SFCTA Board Item 12 Public Comment DTX Governance
Attachments: DOT - Mega Rankings 2022.pdf

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Dear Chair Mandelman and Commissioners,

The intent of this email is to substantiate and elaborate on the concerns I expressed with the latest DTX cost estimates and funding plan.

The attached Department of Transportation FY 22 Mega Grant Rankings document includes a TJPA application for a \$900M grant which lists the following statutory requirements for a project to be selected for award:

1. *The project is likely to generate national or regional economic, mobility, or safety benefits (49 USC 6701(f))*
2. *The project is in need of significant Federal funding (49 USC 6701(f))*
3. ***The project will be cost-effective (49 USC 6701(f))***
4. ***With respect to related non-Federal financial commitments, 1 or more stable and dependable sources of funding and financing are available to (i) construct, operate, and maintain the project; and (ii) cover cost increases (49 USC 6701(f))***
5. ***The applicant has, or will have, sufficient legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the project (49 USC 6701(f))***
6. *The applicant submitted, together with the grant application, a data collection and analysis plan that meets the requirements in 49 USC 6701(g)*

Line 34 on page 1 of the report shows that the TJPA received an Overall Rating of “Not Recommended” because it failed to meet statutory requirements 4 & 5 above. Please note that the Estimated Project Cost at the time of the application was \$4.9B and that **the revised cost estimate of \$6.7B will exceed \$7B once financing is included**. It is therefore reasonable to assume that the next application for a Mega grant will fail to meet the cost-effectiveness requirement (3 above).

The root cause of these issues appears to lie with the TJPA’s lack of “**technical capacity to carry out the project**”, specifically that the TJPA’s consultant have been unable to conceive let alone develop a cost-effective solution that makes it possible to connect the Transit Center to Embarcadero **while preserving SIX thru tracks with 1,400-foot platforms**.

Conclusion

I believe that the time has come to revisit the DTX governance structure adopted by the Board last year and transition to a model whereby the TJPA remains accountable but **assigns project delivery responsibility to a TJPA member agency with a proven track record of designing and delivering cost-effective twin-bore tunnels on time and on budget under downtown San Francisco**.

Respectfully presented for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun

Institutional Options Analysis: Alternatives



Alternative Family	Alternative	Structure Overview
1. TJPA Delivery	1.1 Baseline/Conventional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA accountable and responsible for project delivery using staff and consultants, and cooperation of partners Oversight provided by TJPA Board, staff, and funder oversight protocols Current Peninsula Rail MOU sunsets; bi-lateral intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) developed between TJPA and partner agencies
	1.2 Integrated Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA accountable and responsible for project delivery using staff and consultants, and involvement of partners Organizational structure further developed to provide management oversight, alignment of multi-agency actions, and project coordination Multi-lateral and/or bi-lateral agreements among/between DTX partner agencies
2. TJPA Accountable but assigns Project Delivery Responsibility	2.1 Assignment to TJPA member agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high-level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to TJPA member agency
	2.2 Assignment to non-member agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to agency other than TJPA member
	2.3 Assignment to special purpose entity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to a newly-created special purpose entity (not currently in existence)
3. Transfer Accountability	3.1 Upload to newly-created regional agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project delivery accountability and responsibility is transferred to a regional project delivery agency (not currently in existence)

- SFCTA Board of Directors
- SFMTA Board of Directors
- Caltrain Board of Directors
- TJPA Board of Directors
- SFCTA CAC
- SFMTA CAC
- TJPA CAC
- Caltrain CAC

U.S Department of Transportation
FY 2022 Mega Ratings Report

Key Statutory Requirements	
In order for a project to be selected for award, it must meet all of the following statutory requirements:	
(A)	The project is likely to generate national or regional economic, mobility, or safety benefits (49 USC 6701(f))
(B)	The project is in need of significant Federal funding (49 USC 6701(f))
(C)	The project will be cost-effective (49 USC 6701(f))
(D)	With respect to related non-Federal financial commitments, 1 or more stable and dependable sources of funding and financing are available to (i) construct, operate, and maintain the project; and (ii) cover cost increases (49 USC 6701(f))
(E)	The applicant has, or will have, sufficient legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the project (49 USC 6701(f))
(DP)	The applicant submitted, together with the grant application, a data collection and analysis plan that meets the requirements in 49 USC 6701(g)

Project Name	Applicant Organization Name	State	Project Size	Proposed Amount	Estimated Future Eligible Project Cost	Grant Request Amount	Met all Statutory Requirements	Statutory Requirement Not Met	Overall Rating	
Eligible Applications										
1	North Extension Stabilization Step 1 Project	Municipality of Anchorage - Part of Alaska	AK: Alaska	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 114,500,000	\$ 68,700,000	No	C, DP	Not Recommended	
2	Robertson and Gerstle River Bridges Replacement	State of Alaska	AK: Alaska	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 125,000,000	\$ 75,000,000	No	B,C,DP	Not Recommended	
3	Tastumena Replacement Vessel	State of Alaska	AK: Alaska	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 324,870,000	\$ 194,922,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended	
4	I-10 Mobile River Bridge and Bayway Project	Alabama Department of Transportation	AL: Alabama	\$500M+	\$ 2,706,000,000.00	\$ 900,000,000	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended	
5	Montgomery Outer Loop: SR 108 Extension Phase 2	Montgomery County Commission	AL: Alabama	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 146,000,000	\$ 87,600,000	No	D,E	Not Recommended	
6	I-49 Extension	Arkansas Department of Transportation	AR: Arkansas	\$500M+	\$ 916,710,613	\$ 100,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
7	The Gap: I-10 SRHC Corridor	Arizona Department of Transportation	AZ: Arizona	\$500M+	\$ 992,550,000	\$ 359,650,000	No	n/a	Recommended	
8	State Route 24 Extension	Pinal County	AZ: Arizona	\$500M+	\$ 502,720,900	\$ 301,632,540	No	C,D,DP	Not Recommended	
9	POLA Supply Chain Project	California Department of Transportation	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 149,100,000	\$ 89,460,000	No	DP	Not Recommended	
10	Madera High-Speed Rail Station Project	California Department of Transportation	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 146,000,000	\$ 87,600,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
11	I-5 Managed Lanes	California Department of Transportation	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 379,000,000	\$ 211,000,000	No	D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
12	Watsonville-Santa Cruz Multimodal Corridor Program	California Department of Transportation	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 193,576,524	\$ 30,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
13	Interstate 5 Stockton Channel Viaduct Bridge Rehabilitation Project	California Department of Transportation - Caltrans	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 377,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
14	California High-Speed Rail Inaugural Operating Service	California High-Speed Rail Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 1,741,000,000	\$ 1,045,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
15	San Francisco to Los Angeles High-Speed Rail Configuration Design Project	California High-Speed Rail Authority	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 372,000,000	\$ 223,200,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
16	Oakland Waterfront Mobility Hub	City of Oakland	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 365,781,841	\$ 182,890,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
17	Churchill Avenue Rail Grade Separation	City of Palo Alto	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 212,000,000	\$ 122,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
18	US 50/Rancho Cordova Parkway Interchange Project	City of Rancho Cordova	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 145,185,261	\$ 87,111,156	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
19	680 Forward Program	Contra Costa Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 906,256,000	\$ 394,880,000	Yes	n/a	Not Recommended	
20	South Fresno SR99 Corridor Project	Fresno County Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 132,000,000	\$ 25,000,000	No	B,C,DP	Not Recommended	
21	America's Green Gateway: Pier B Rail Program Buildout	Long Beach, City of	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 833,065,798	\$ 499,837,078	Yes	n/a	Not Recommended	
22	I-105 Express Lanes	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 792,543,000	\$ 45,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
23	West Santa Ana Branch Transit Corridor	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 6,340,400,000	\$ 400,000,000	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended	
24	Resilient SR 37 - Sears Point to Mare Island Improvement Project	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 424,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
25	San Diego Double Track and Bridge Replacement Phase II	North County Transit District	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 152,497,921	\$ 91,498,753	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended	
26	State Route 91 Operational and Multimodal Improvements	Orange County Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 90,258,260	\$ 40,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
27	US 50 Gold Line Corridor Enhancement Project	Sacramento County	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 126,294,000	\$ 60,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
28	Interstate 10 Corridor Freight and Managed Lane Project	San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 806,000,000	\$ 60,000,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended	
29	State Route 94-State Route 125 Interchange and Arterial Operational Improvements Project	San Diego Association of Governments	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 120,000,000	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended	
30	Ceres to Turlock Double Tracking Project	San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 133,400,000	\$ 66,700,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
31	State Route 24 East: Antelope Grade Corridor Improvements	San Luis Obispo Council of Governments	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 60,000,000	No	C,D,DP	Not Recommended	
32	U.S. 101 Multimodal Corridor Improvements	Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 1,068,780,000	\$ 256,000,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
33	Silicon Valley Express Lanes	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)	CA: California	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 138,680,000	\$ 102,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
34	The Downtown Rail Extension (DTX)	Transbay Joint Powers Authority	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 4,971,600,000	\$ 900,000,000	No	D,E	Not Recommended	
35	Mobility Enhancements for Regional Growth and Equity (MERGE) Project	City of Greeley	CO: Colorado	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 117,500,000	\$ 70,500,000	No	DP	Not Recommended	
36	I-91/I691/Route 15 Interchange Improvements	Connecticut Department of Transportation	CT: Connecticut	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 400,000,000	\$ 200,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
37	Track Improvement Mobility Enhancement-1 (TIME-1)	Connecticut Department of Transportation	CT: Connecticut	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 385,003,763	\$ 231,002,258	No	C	Not Recommended	
38	South Capitol Street Corridor - Phase 2 Segments III, IV, and V	District of Columbia Department of Transportation	DC: District of Columbia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 315,000,000	\$ 189,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
39	Southeast Boulevard and Barney Circle	District of Columbia Department of Transportation	DC: District of Columbia	\$500M+	\$ 650,000,000	\$ 390,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended	
40	Edgemoor Container Terminal	Diamond State Port Corporation	DE: Delaware	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 309,631,501	\$ 122,231,924	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
41	Slip 1 Expansion Project	Broward County, Florida	FL: Florida	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 153,100,000	\$ 25,000,000	No	DP	Not Recommended	
42	US 27 Promoting Advancements in Logistics, Mobility, and Equity to Tackle Transportation Obstacles	Florida Department of Transportation	FL: Florida	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 194,559,722	\$ 58,367,917	No	B	Not Recommended	
43	Port Miami Net Zero Resilient Supply Chain Program: Cargo Mobility Optimization, Electrification, Identification, and Intermodal Ports Project	Miami Dade County	FL: Florida	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 444,145,468	\$ 266,487,281	No	C	Not Recommended	
44	I-380 and Wright Brothers Blvd. Interchange	Iowa Department of Transportation	IA: Iowa	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 120,941,850	\$ 72,565,110	Yes	n/a	Recommended	
45	IA 9 Over the Mississippi River in Lansing	Iowa Department of Transportation	IA: Iowa	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 166,761,975	\$ 100,057,185	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended	
46	The Southwest Connector Project	City of Murphysboro	IL: Illinois	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 198,220,000	\$ 198,220,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended	
47	Pioneer Parkway Corridor	City of Peoria	IL: Illinois	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 144,600,000	\$ 86,760,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	
48	Metra UP North Rebuild: Fullerton to Addison	Commuter Rail Division of the RTA d/b/a Metra	IL: Illinois	\$100M to \$500M	\$117,000,000.00	\$ 161,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended	
49	I-290 Blue Line Modernization Project	Illinois Department of Transportation	IL: Illinois	\$500M+	\$ 665,723,442	\$ 399,434,065	No	C,DP	Not Recommended	

Project Name	Applicant Organization Name	State	Project Size	Proposed Award	Estimated Funds Eligible Project Cost	Grant Request Amount	All Status Requirements	Statutory Requirement Met	Overall Rating	
50	Chicago Access/Michigan East Program	National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak)	IL: Illinois	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 438,900,000	\$ 251,100,000	No	C,E	Not Recommended
51	I-70 Safety and Freight Improvement Project	Indiana Department of Transportation	IN: Indiana	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 458,849,429	\$ 123,715,099	No	C	Not Recommended
52	Brent Spence Bridge	Executive Office of the Commonwealth of Kentucky	KY: Kentucky	\$500M+	\$ 250,000,000	\$ 2,770,661,663	\$ 1,660,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
53	I-10 Calcasieu River Bridge Replacement	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development	LA: Louisiana	\$500M+	\$ 150,000,000	\$ 1,532,000,000	\$ 600,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
54	Westbank Rail Realignment	Parish of Jefferson	LA: Louisiana	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 368,725,000	\$ 221,235,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
55	LA 1 - LA 415 Connector Gap Funding	West Baton Rouge Parish Government	LA: Louisiana	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 210,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	No	C,D	Not Recommended
56	North Station Draw 1 Bridge Replacement Project	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	MA: Massachusetts	\$500M+		\$ 563,965,244	\$ 338,379,145	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
57	I-90 Realignment Multimodal Project	Massachusetts Department of Transportation	MA: Massachusetts	\$500M+		\$ 1,985,140,430	\$ 1,191,084,258	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended
58	Replacement of the Bourne and Sagamore Bridges	US Army Corps of Engineers	MA: Massachusetts	\$500M+		\$ 3,976,000,000	\$ 1,113,280,000	No	D,E	Not Recommended
59	Maryland Investment to Support Supply-Chain Infrastructure to Obtain Net-Zero (MISSION)	Maryland Department of Transportation MD Port Administration	MD: Maryland	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 132,637,691	\$ 67,643,953	No	C	Not Recommended
60	I-475 Community Enhancement Project	Michigan Department of Transportation	Mi: Michigan	\$500M+		\$ 720,000,000	\$ 300,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
61	I-94 Reconstruction Project	Michigan Department of Transportation	Mi: Michigan	\$500M+		\$ 369,200,000	\$ 183,600,000	No	C	Not Recommended
62	TH 65 Improvements Project	Anoka County	MN: Minnesota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 166,300,000	\$ 90,600,000	No	C,D,DP	Not Recommended
63	Downtown Moorhead Grade Separation Project	City of Moorhead, Minnesota	MN: Minnesota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 114,700,000	\$ 33,500,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
64	I-94 Monticello to Albertville Expansion	Minnesota Department of Transportation	MN: Minnesota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 120,790,000	\$ 60,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
65	I-670 South Loop Link Green Mobility hub	Downtown Kansas City Community Improvement District	MO: Missouri	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 159,053,000	\$ 60,000,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended
66	I-44 Reconstruction Highway Project	Missouri Department of Transportation	MO: Missouri	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 109,423,597	\$ 65,654,158	No	C	Not Recommended
67	Airport Parkway Corridor	City of Flowood	MS: Mississippi	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 340,000,000	\$ 204,000,000	No	C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended
68	Improvements to I-55/I-69	Mississippi Department of Transportation	MS: Mississippi	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 118,824,081	\$ 65,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
69	Improvements to the I-10 Freight Corridor	Mississippi Department of Transportation	MS: Mississippi	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 118,791,809	\$ 65,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
70	Billings Bypass Project	Montana Department of Transportation	MT: Montana	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 99,484,000	\$ 59,690,000	No	C	Not Recommended
71	Mineral County I-90 Improvements	Montana Department of Transportation	MT: Montana	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 120,293,000	\$ 72,176,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
72	Strengthening Transportation Evacuation Resilient Lifeline by Improving the Network's Grid (STERLING)	North Carolina Department of Transportation	NC: North Carolina	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 110,000,000	\$ 289,500,000	\$ 173,100,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
73	Triangle Expressway Southeast Extension	North Carolina Turnpike Authority	NC: North Carolina	\$500M+		\$ 1,063,381,689	\$ 100,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
74	Mid-Currituck Bridge	North Carolina Turnpike Authority	NC: North Carolina	\$500M+		\$ 581,837,544	\$ 99,000,000	No	DP	Not Recommended
75	I-94 State of Good Repair	North Dakota Department of Transportation	ND: North Dakota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 166,200,000	\$ 83,100,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
76	North Dakota Interstate Cross-Median Crash Elimination Project	North Dakota Department of Transportation	ND: North Dakota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 142,800,000	\$ 71,400,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
77	Theodore Roosevelt Expressway Freight Safety Project	North Dakota Department of Transportation	ND: North Dakota	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 129,700,000	\$ 64,850,000	No	C	Not Recommended
78	I-80 Reconstruction and Expansion	Nebraska Department of Transportation	NE: Nebraska	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 128,660,000	\$ 77,196,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
79	Commodore Barry Modernization Project	Delaware River Port Authority	NJ: New Jersey	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 404,750,000	\$ 238,200,000	No	D,E,DP	Not Recommended
80	Port of Camden Modernization	South Jersey Port Corporation	NJ: New Jersey	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 133,130,177	\$ 93,191,124	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
81	I-25 Improved: Comanche to Montgomery	New Mexico Department of Transportation	NM: New Mexico	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 181,100,000	\$ 108,400,000	No	C	Not Recommended
82	I-25 Interchange and East/West Corridor	Village of Los Lunas	NM: New Mexico	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 177,612,864	\$ 88,700,000	No	DP	Not Recommended
83	Victory Infrastructure Project	City of Fernley, NV	NV: Nevada	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 111,052,471	\$ 66,631,483	No	DP	Not Recommended
84	Henderson Interchange	Nevada Department of Transportation	NV: Nevada	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 331,345,805	\$ 111,720,000	No	C	Not Recommended
85	Midway Crossing	County of Suffolk	NY: New York	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 106,099,291	\$ 69,179,575	No	A,B,C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended
86	Hudson Tunnel Project	Gateway Development Commission	NY: New York	\$500M+		\$ 14,082,864,851	\$ 896,841,204	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended
87	Hudson Yards Concrete Casing - Section 3 (HYCC-3)	National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak)	NY: New York	\$500M+	\$ 292,171,053	\$ 609,969,006	\$ 365,981,404	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
88	Four Belt Parkway Bridges	New York City Department of Transportation	NY: New York	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 264,625,275	\$ 82,657,050	No	DP	Not Recommended
89	I-81 Viaduct	New York State Department of Transportation	NY: New York	\$500M+		\$ 2,250,000,000	\$ 225,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
90	Cross Bronx Expressway	New York State Department of Transportation	NY: New York	\$500M+		\$ 708,100,000	\$ 200,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
91	Arthur Kill Terminal	NYS Urban Development Corporation d/b/a Empire State Dev.	NY: New York	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 354,428,608	\$ 76,787,128	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
92	US 412 Priority Improvements	Oklahoma Department of Transportation	OK: Oklahoma	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 139,790,000	\$ 83,874,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
93	I-35 Corridor Improvements	Oklahoma Department of Transportation	OK: Oklahoma	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 122,527,000	\$ 61,263,500	Yes	n/a	Recommended
94	I-44 & US-75 Corridor Improvements	Oklahoma Department of Transportation	OK: Oklahoma	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 85,000,000	\$ 205,839,000	\$ 85,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
95	Burnside Bridge Replacement Project	Multnomah County	OR: Oregon	\$500M+		\$ 895,000,000	\$ 535,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
96	I-205 Improvements Project, Phase 2	Oregon Department of Transportation	OR: Oregon	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 433,000,000	\$ 120,000,000	No	n/a	Not Recommended
97	Pacific Coast Intermodal Port	Oregon International Port of Coos Bay	OR: Oregon	\$500M+		\$ 1,772,567,246	\$ 1,240,797,072	Yes	C,E	Not Recommended
98	Hood River White Salmon Bridge Replacement Project	Port of Hood River, Oregon	OR: Oregon	\$500M+		\$ 500,000,000	\$ 195,000,000	No	C,D,E	Not Recommended
99	Roosevelt Boulevard Multimodal Project	City of Philadelphia	PA: Pennsylvania	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 78,000,000	\$ 134,600,000	\$ 78,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
100	I-83 South Bridge Replacement Project	Pennsylvania Department of Transportation	PA: Pennsylvania	\$500M+		\$ 1,068,000,000	\$ 500,000,000	No	B,C,D,E,DP	Not Recommended
101	I-95, Section GR6	Pennsylvania Department of Transportation	PA: Pennsylvania	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 295,200,000	\$ 177,200,000	No	C	Not Recommended
102	The PA Turnpike/I-95 Interchange Project	Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission	PA: Pennsylvania	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 1,523,000,000	\$ 1,252,280,174	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
103	Eastern Pittsburgh Multimodal Corridor Project	Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission	PA: Pennsylvania	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 213,191,000	\$ 127,914,600	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
104	South Quay Marine Terminal (SQMT)	Rhode Island Commerce Corporation	RI: Rhode Island	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 200,204,986	\$ 120,000,000	No	C,E	Not Recommended
105	I-95 Missing Move and Ramps to Quonset Business Park	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	RI: Rhode Island	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 135,000,000	\$ 81,000,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
106	Assembly Street Railroad Separation Project	City of Columbia	SC: South Carolina	\$100M to \$500M		\$ 220,000,000	\$ 132,000,000	No	D,E	Not Recommended

Project Name	Applicant Organization Name	State	Project Size	Proposed Award	Estimated Future Eligible Project Cost	Grant Request Amount	Met all Statutory Requirements	Statutory Requirement Not Met	Overall Rating
107	Container Barge Operation Project	South Carolina Ports Authority	SC: South Carolina	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 272,400,000	\$ 149,830,000	No	C,E	Not Recommended
108	South Veterans Parkway Project	South Dakota Department of Transportation	SD: South Dakota	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 192,617,134	\$ 94,194,748	No	B,C,DP	Not Recommended
109	I-240 Airways Boulevard	Tennessee Department of Transportation	TN: Tennessee	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 116,084,000	\$ 42,951,080	Yes	n/a	Recommended
110	East Loop Project	Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority	TX: Texas	\$500M+	\$ 121,895,000	\$ 72,837,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
111	GULFSTAR Freight Network Project	Port of Houston Authority	TX: Texas	\$500M+	\$ 1,177,493,086	\$ 706,495,852	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
112	East Lancaster Avenue Complete Streets and Transit Technology Project	North Central Texas Council of Governments	TX: Texas	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 182,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	No	B,DP	Not Recommended
113	Port of Corpus Christi (PCC) Inland Port	Port of Corpus Christi Authority	TX: Texas	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 145,128,150	\$ 116,102,520	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
114	The I-30 Canyon Project	Texas Department of Transportation	TX: Texas	\$500M+	\$ 542,000,000	\$ 90,500,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
115	International Bridge Trade Corridor	Texas Department of Transportation	TX: Texas	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 200,526,107	\$ 120,316,854	No	C	Not Recommended
116	I-45 to Port of Galveston Flyover Project	The Board of Trustees of the Galveston Wharves	TX: Texas	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 102,600,000	\$ 61,560,000	No	C,E,DP	Not Recommended
117	I-15 South Iron Canyon Project	Utah Department of Transportation	UT: Utah	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 127,556,778	\$ 76,534,057	Yes	n/a	Recommended
118	Richmond Highway Widening Project	County of Fairfax	VA: Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 453,600,000	\$ 76,569,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
119	Hampton Roads Express Lanes Network Segment 1A and B	Hampton Roads Planning District Commission	VA: Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 372,551,579	\$ 223,212,527	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
120	Route 123 at I-95 Corridor Innovative Improvements	Prince William County Government	VA: Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 202,807,750	\$ 100,000,000	Yes	n/a	Recommended
121	Route 15 Bypass/Edwards Ferry Road/Fort Evans Road Interchange	Town of Leesburg	VA: Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 175,446,810	\$ 105,268,086	No	D,E,DP	Not Recommended
122	Connecting National Landing	Virginia Department of Transportation	VA: Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 364,500,000	\$ 97,000,000	No	C	Not Recommended
123	I-64 Widening Project	Virginia Department of Transportation	VA: Virginia	\$500M+	\$ 750,000,000	\$ 150,000,000	Yes	n/a	Highly Recommended
124	New Long Bridge Rail Capacity Expansion Project	Virginia Passenger Rail Authority	VA: Virginia	\$500M+	\$ 2,012,200,000	\$ 300,000,000	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
125	The I-79 Chaplin Hill Gateway Project	Monongalia County Commission	WV: West Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 167,171,835	\$ 95,060,835	No	DP	Not Recommended
126	Coalfields Expressway Project	West Virginia Department of Transportation	WV: West Virginia	\$500M+	\$ 587,000,000	\$ 353,200,000	No	DP	Not Recommended
127	Corridor H (Parsons to Davis) - ADHS	West Virginia Department of Transportation	WV: West Virginia	\$500M+	\$ 552,393,526	\$ 331,436,115	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
128	Corridor H (Wardersville-Virginia State Line) - ADHS	West Virginia Department of Transportation	WV: West Virginia	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 339,488,184	\$ 203,692,910	No	C,DP	Not Recommended
Eligible for MSEA but Awarded under INFRA									
1	Otay Mesa East Port of Entry Project	California Department of Transportation	CA: California	\$500M+	\$ 1,127,933,000	\$ 170,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	I-70 Floyd Hill to Veterans Memorial Tunnels Improvements	Colorado Department of Transportation	CO: Colorado	\$500M+	\$ 712,000,000	\$ 240,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	Springfield Rail Improvements Project	City of Springfield	IL: Illinois	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 127,898,096	\$ 76,738,858	n/a	n/a	n/a
4	I-375 Community Reconnection Project	Michigan Department of Transportation	MI: Michigan	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 306,400,000	\$ 180,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
5	I-85 FUTURES	North Carolina Department of Transportation	NC: North Carolina	\$500M+	\$ 658,930,151	\$ 259,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
6	Hunts Point Terminal Produce Market	City of New York	NY: New York	\$500M+	\$ 719,204,155	\$ 378,417,400	n/a	n/a	n/a
7	Western Hills Viaduct Replacement	City of Cincinnati	OH: Ohio	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 400,000,000	\$ 196,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
8	PR-2 Improvement Project	Autoridad de Carreteras y Transportación	PR: Puerto Rico	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 188,351,994	\$ 111,027,772	n/a	n/a	n/a
9	The Newport Pell Bridge Rehabilitation Project	Rhode Island Turnpike and Bridge Authority	RI: Rhode Island	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 137,506,000	\$ 82,508,600	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	I-39/90/94 Wisconsin River Bridges Project	Wisconsin Department of Transportation	WI: Wisconsin	\$100M to \$500M	\$ 146,900,000	\$ 80,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ineligible for MSEA									
1	Advancing Connectivity and Equity in the Remote Bering Straits Region	KaweraK, Inc.	AK: Alaska		\$ 17,320,136	\$ 13,856,109	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	Nenana Safety and Equity of Access Project	City of Nenana	AK: Alaska		\$ 14,141,398	\$ 14,141,398	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	Cordova Paving Our Future	City of Cordova	AL: Alabama		\$ 13,387,325	\$ 10,709,860	n/a	n/a	n/a
4	Graysville Road Improvements	City of Graysville	AL: Alabama		\$ 1,503,213	\$ 1,202,570	n/a	n/a	n/a
5	Scott Ranch Road Bridge Project	City of Show Low	AZ: Arizona		\$ 15,500,000	\$ 14,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
6	Yavapai-Apache Nation Roads	Yavapai-Apache Nation	AZ: Arizona		\$ 2,094,750	\$ 1,675,800	n/a	n/a	n/a
7	Madera 41 Expressway	Madera, County of	CA: California		\$ 94,000,000	\$ 89,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
8	State Route 58 Truck Climbing Lane	State of California, Department of Transportation	CA: California		\$ 55,000,000	\$ 44,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
9	Turnbull Canyon Road Grade Separation	County of Los Angeles Public Works	CA: California		\$ 54,000,000	\$ 20,555,850	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	Pitt River Bridge	California Department of Transportation	CA: California		\$ 50,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
11	Scott Road/Sundy Canyon Road Widening Project	City of Menifee	CA: California		\$ 34,800,000	\$ 25,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
12	Wilshire Boulevard over Borsani Avenue Bridge Replacement Project	County of Los Angeles Public Works	CA: California		\$ 26,400,000	\$ 15,840,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
13	Palomar Street Grade Separation Project	San Diego Association of Governments	CA: California		\$ 25,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
14	Imperial Highway over North Fork Coyote Creek Bridge Replacement Project	County of Los Angeles Public Works	CA: California		\$ 20,460,000	\$ 12,276,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
15	Yurok Multimodal Project	Yurok Tribe of Indians	CA: California		\$ 2,532,129	\$ 2,025,703	n/a	n/a	n/a
16	South Downtown Railroad Underpass Reconstruction Project	City of Colorado Springs	CO: Colorado		\$ 102,700,000	\$ 77,100,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
17	Jefferson County Infrastructure Improvements	Jefferson, County of	CO: Colorado		\$ 350,935,096	\$ 260,748,077	n/a	n/a	n/a
18	Crystal Valley Parkway Interchange Construction Project	Town of Castle Rock	CO: Colorado		\$ 78,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
19	Pueblo Westside Connector	City of Pueblo	CO: Colorado		\$ 16,834,725	\$ 13,467,780	n/a	n/a	n/a
20	East Capitol Street Safety and Mobility Improvements	District Department of Transportation	DC: District of Columbia		\$ 80,000,000	\$ 48,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
21	South Capitol Street Corridor - Phase 2 Segment III	District Department of Transportation	DC: District of Columbia		\$ 70,000,000	\$ 42,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
22	County Road 880 from Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. to SR 80	Palm Beach County	FL: Florida		\$ 160,000,000	\$ 128,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
23	Price Boulevard Mobility Project	City of North Port	FL: Florida		\$ 59,268,318	\$ 25,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a

	Project Name	Applicant Organization Name	State	Project Size	Proposed Award	Estimated Future Eligible Project Cost	Grant Request Amount	Met all Statutory Requirements	Statutory Requirement Not Met	Overall Rating
24	SeaPort Manatee - South Port Container Yard and Electrification Project Phase 3 MPOG 2022	Manatee County Port Authority	FL: Florida			\$ 19,922,487	\$ 11,953,492	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	William Burgess Boulevard Extension Phase 1	Nassau County Board of County Commissioners	FL: Florida			\$ 12,261,383	\$ 9,809,106	n/a	n/a	n/a
26	City of South Miami Downtown Pedestrian Bridge	City of South Miami	FL: Florida			\$ 9,272,320	\$ 5,563,392	n/a	n/a	n/a
27	Trails to Transit: Atlanta Beltline Connects People to MARTA	City of Atlanta	GA: Georgia			\$ 123,172,859	\$ 70,483,800	n/a	n/a	n/a
28	GA 400 Transit Initiative	Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA)	GA: Georgia			\$ 358,352,560	\$ 210,081,600	n/a	n/a	n/a
29	Campbellton Road Corridor Transit: Upward Mobility for Southwest Atlanta	City of Atlanta	GA: Georgia			\$ 315,000,000	\$ 180,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	Toombs County 55.94 Miles Project	Toombs County Board of Commissioners	GA: Georgia			\$ 114,176,912	\$ 91,341,529	n/a	n/a	n/a
31	Cascade Road Interchange Improvement	City of South Fulton	GA: Georgia			\$ 35,750,000	\$ 28,600,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
32	Roadway and Street Improvements	Montgomery County	GA: Georgia			\$ 11,585,928	\$ 9,268,742	n/a	n/a	n/a
33	Port Authority of Guam Rehabilitation of "Area A" Fuel Storage Facility	Port Authority of Guam	GU: Guam			\$ 53,112,167	\$ 31,867,300	n/a	n/a	n/a
34	Acquisition of Three Rail-Mounted Ship-to-Shore Gantry Cranes	Jose D. Leon Guerrero Commercial Port Authority of Guam	GU: Guam			\$ 48,225,787	\$ 29,091,447	n/a	n/a	n/a
35	Interstate Route I-1 Addition and Modification of Freeway Access Kaulaie Interchange Complex, Phase 3	Hawaii Dept of Transportation	HI: Hawaii			\$ 47,970,000	\$ 28,170,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
36	Oahu Shared Use Path - Leeward Coast to University of Hawaii Manoa	Hawaii Dept of Transportation	HI: Hawaii			\$ 34,975,025	\$ 20,985,015	n/a	n/a	n/a
37	2025 Mason City Highway 122 Corridor Improvement	Mason City, City of	IA: Iowa			\$ 53,590,000	\$ 42,872,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
38	2024 Mason City Highway 122 Mercy Corridor Improvement	Mason City, City of	IA: Iowa			\$ 12,620,000	\$ 10,056,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
39	S 16th Street Multimodal Corridor Project	City of Ames	IA: Iowa			\$ 9,000,000	\$ 4,224,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
40	Grant Proposal for HRTA	Heart of Iowa Regional Transit Agency	IA: Iowa			\$ 3,174,270	\$ 2,539,416	n/a	n/a	n/a
41	Chillicothe Viaduct/RT 29 Viaduct Improvements Project	Tri-County Regional Planning Commission	IL: Illinois			\$ 50,000,000	\$ 35,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
42	Perryville Road Corridor	Winnemago, County of	IL: Illinois			\$ 19,600,000	\$ 14,480,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
43	Woodford County Highway 13 Widening and Resurfacing	Woodford County Highway Department	IL: Illinois			\$ 7,241,250	\$ 4,510,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
44	Columbia Avenue Infrastructure Replacement	City of Hammond	IN: Indiana			\$ 27,798,858	\$ 17,869,087	n/a	n/a	n/a
45	Pedestrian Sidewalk Improvements	City of Pittsburg	KS: Kansas			\$ 1,683,564	\$ 1,346,851	n/a	n/a	n/a
46	Street improvement project	City of Bazine	KS: Kansas			\$ 1,570,557	\$ 1,020,862	n/a	n/a	n/a
47	Rockport Bridge Rehabilitation Freight Rail Project	Green River Area Development District	KY: Kentucky			\$ 38,515,000	\$ 17,331,850	n/a	n/a	n/a
48	Louis Armstrong International Airport InterCity Rail Connector	City of New Orleans	LA: Louisiana			\$ 202,000,320	\$ 121,200,192	n/a	n/a	n/a
49	East-West Corridor Winfield Road Extension	Bossier Parish Police Jury	LA: Louisiana			\$ 72,150,000	\$ 57,720,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
50	I-69 Corridor Connector Project	Caddo-Bossier Parishes Port Commission	LA: Louisiana			\$ 68,525,091	\$ 17,274,254	n/a	n/a	n/a
51	Louisiana DOT&D Ferry Design and Construction	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development	LA: Louisiana			\$ 52,000,000	\$ 41,600,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
52	Bossier Parish Connection to Highway 71	Bossier Parish Police Jury	LA: Louisiana			\$ 44,550,000	\$ 35,640,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
53	St. Landry Parish Roadways Improvements	St. Landry Parish Government	LA: Louisiana			\$ 89,352,176	\$ 31,481,740	n/a	n/a	n/a
54	Bossier Road Extension Construction Project	Bossier Parish Police Jury	LA: Louisiana			\$ 22,950,000	\$ 18,360,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
55	Willie Avenue Safety Improvements	Washington Parish Government	LA: Louisiana			\$ 12,750,000	\$ 12,450,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
56	West Baton Rouge Choctaw Rd Connector	West Baton Rouge Parish Government	LA: Louisiana			\$ 9,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
57	Sabine Parish Roads Rehabilitation and Repair	Sabine Parish Police Jury	LA: Louisiana			\$ 6,777,428	\$ 5,421,942	n/a	n/a	n/a
58	MBTA Southwest Corridor Infrastructure Improvements	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	MA: Massachusetts			\$ 407,005,566	\$ 239,703,340	n/a	n/a	n/a
59	MBTA South Side Maintenance Facility	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	MA: Massachusetts			\$ 418,458,429	\$ 245,435,057	n/a	n/a	n/a
60	I-81 Corridor Expansion	State Highway Administration of Maryland Dept Transportation	MD: Maryland			\$ 76,759,921	\$ 25,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
61	New Carrollton Multimodal Transit Center	Prince George's County	MD: Maryland			\$ 47,000,000	\$ 28,200,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
62	Presque Isle Corridor Project	Maine Department of Transportation	ME: Maine			\$ 81,850,000	\$ 44,100,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
63	Downeast Coastal US 1 Rehabilitation Project	Maine Department of Transportation	ME: Maine			\$ 55,000,000	\$ 41,600,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
64	Western Oakland County local paratransit expansion	Western Oakland Transportation Authority	MI: Michigan			\$ 19,277,170	\$ 13,985,352	n/a	n/a	n/a
65	Camp Ten Road Bridge Replacement	Oscoda County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 5,258,000	\$ 4,206,400	n/a	n/a	n/a
66	Sault Ste. Marie Easterday Road Improvement	City of Sault Ste. Marie	MI: Michigan			\$ 4,300,000	\$ 3,300,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
67	Hubert Road Bridge Replacement	Alcona County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 4,089,000	\$ 3,271,200	n/a	n/a	n/a
68	County Road 612 Rehabilitation	Kalkaska County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
69	Aspen Alley-AuSable Road Overlay Project	Alcona County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 2,425,000	\$ 1,940,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
70	Monument Road Overlay Project	Iosco County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 2,165,000	\$ 1,732,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
71	Cherry Creek Road Reconstruction	Oscoda County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 1,990,000	\$ 1,592,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
72	South Straits Highway Rehabilitation	Cheboygan CRC	MI: Michigan			\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,440,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
73	McCollum Lake Road Rehabilitation Project	Oscoda County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 1,770,000	\$ 1,416,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
74	Levering Road Rehabilitation Project	Cheboygan County Road Commission	MI: Michigan			\$ 1,650,000	\$ 1,320,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
75	Riggsville Road Rehabilitation	Cheboygan CRC	MI: Michigan			\$ 1,140,000	\$ 912,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
76	Minnesota Highway 13 and Nicollet Avenue Grade Separation and Intersection Project	City of Burnsville	MN: Minnesota			\$ 42,768,000	\$ 25,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
77	Eastgate Commerce Center Industrial Corridor	City of Independence	MO: Missouri			\$ 72,337,414	\$ 47,348,125	n/a	n/a	n/a
78	South Main Street Corridor Improvements Project-Phase II	City of Maryville	MO: Missouri			\$ 6,425,780	\$ 5,140,624	n/a	n/a	n/a
79	US-11 Interchange and Corridor Improvements	The City of Hattiesburg	MS: Mississippi			\$ 56,352,000	\$ 33,788,480	n/a	n/a	n/a
80	Lincoln Street and 33rd Street Improvement Project	City of Beatrice	NE: Nebraska			\$ 25,012,000	\$ 25,012,000	n/a	n/a	n/a

Project Name	Applicant Organization Name	State	Project Site	Proposed Award	Estimated Fully Eligible Project Cost	Grant Request Amount	Met all Statutory Requirements	Statutory Requirement Not Met	Overall Rating
81 State of Good Repair Project for Customer Facing Facilities	NJ Transik Corporation	NJ: New Jersey			\$ 149,069,131	\$ 89,441,479	n/a	n/a	n/a
82 Camden Transportation Access Modernization Program	Delaware River Port Authority	NJ: New Jersey			\$ 129,849,000	\$ 77,760,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
83 US-60/84 Clovis Reconstruction	New Mexico Department of Transportation	NM: New Mexico			\$ 78,735,521	\$ 34,013,358	n/a	n/a	n/a
84 Park Avenue Viaduct Replacement	NY Metropolitan Transportation Authority	NY: New York			\$ 874,000,000	\$ 486,330,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
85 Penn Station Access New Rochelle Railyard Expansion Project	NY Metropolitan Transportation Authority	NY: New York			\$ 146,938,000	\$ 88,162,800	n/a	n/a	n/a
86 Midtown Bus Terminal Replacement	The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey	NY: New York			\$ 10,000,000,000	\$ 1,000,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
87 Interborough Express	NY Metropolitan Transportation Authority	NY: New York			\$ 104,400,000	\$ 61,200,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
88 Route 2 Multimodal Project	City of Troy	NY: New York			\$ 25,594,701	\$ 15,596,821	n/a	n/a	n/a
89 Ohio's Opportunity Highway	Regional Transportation Improvement Project (RTIP)	OH: Ohio			\$ 55,419,721	\$ 44,335,777	n/a	n/a	n/a
90 Apex Landfill Rail Project	Harrison, County of	OH: Ohio			\$ 31,108,046	\$ 24,886,437	n/a	n/a	n/a
91 McKean County Landfill Rail Project	Sergeant Township	PA: Pennsylvania			\$ 26,622,222	\$ 20,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
92 Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Bundling Project	North Central PA Regional Planning & Development Commission	PA: Pennsylvania			\$ 14,551,000	\$ 11,640,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
93 Big Plum Run Road Rehabilitation Project	Dunstable Township	PA: Pennsylvania			\$ 705,205	\$ 705,205	n/a	n/a	n/a
94 Horseshoe Drive Culverts Replacement Project	Huston Township	PA: Pennsylvania			\$ 582,000	\$ 582,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
95 Plumbstead Road Bridge Replacement	Harrison Township	PA: Pennsylvania			\$ 274,000	\$ 274,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
96 DESVIO NORTE	Yauco Municipality	PR: Puerto Rico			\$ 3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
97 I-20 Bridges Project	South Carolina Department of Transportation	SC: South Carolina			\$ 93,000,000	\$ 55,800,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
98 GSP Intermodal Air Cargo Infrastructure Project	Greenville-Spartanburg Airport District	SC: South Carolina			\$ 24,411,421	\$ 14,646,852	n/a	n/a	n/a
99 I-40 Truck Parking and Bridges Replacement	Tennessee Department of Transportation	TN: Tennessee			\$ 56,500,000	\$ 22,600,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
100 Nolana Loop Corridor Project	County of Hidalgo	TX: Texas			\$ 81,800,000	\$ 29,700,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
101 Anzalduas Bridge Expansion Project	City of McAllen	TX: Texas			\$ 66,156,554	\$ 25,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
102 Port of Galveston Pier 35, Pier 38, and Cargo Corridor Project	The Board of Trustees of the Galveston Wharves	TX: Texas			\$ 31,800,000	\$ 19,080,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
103 Chambers Parkway Expansion	Chambers County	TX: Texas			\$ 25,141,360	\$ 25,141,360	n/a	n/a	n/a
104 World Trade Bridge Expansion Project	City of Laredo	TX: Texas			\$ 25,000,000	\$ 15,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
105 FM 1405 Expansion	Chambers County	TX: Texas			n/a	\$ 29,776,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
106 Route 58 Gateway Corridor Improvements	City of Suffolk	VA: Virginia			\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
107 Vermont Regional Freight Rail Corridor Upgrade Project	State of Vermont Agency of Transportation	VT: Vermont			\$ 20,000,000	\$ 12,000,000	n/a	n/a	n/a
108 Environmental and BCA Project for Pine Creek Realignment	Lincoln County	WY: Wyoming			\$ 200,000	\$ 160,000	n/a	n/a	n/a

*Number is estimated and subject to revision based on final negotiated project budgets.

Givens, Patrice

From: Roland Lebrun <ccss@msn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2023 9:17 AM
To: Transbay Info
Cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin; SFCTA Board Secretary; MTABoard@SFMTA.com; Board (@caltrain.com); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); SFCTA CAC; cac@sfmta.com; TJPA CAC; cacsecretary [@caltrain.com]
Subject: TJPA 2/9 Board meeting item 11 Amendment to General Engineering Consultant services contract

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders.

Dear Chair Gee,

Further to my email of January 23 (below) which highlighted the Federal Government's rating of the current DTX project as "not recommended" for a \$900M Mega grant due to a lack of "**sufficient legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the project**", it is unclear why staff should now recommend wasting another \$20M "accelerating the design" of a **\$7B Caltrain terminus with half the capacity of the 4th & King railyard**.

The staff recommendation is at best questionable when considering the following facts:

- **Parsons Transportation Group were directly responsible for the 3-track fiasco** (<https://tjpa.org/uploads/2009/07/Train-Operations-Analysis-of-Two-versus-Three-Mainline-Tracks-Oct-17.pdf> page 13) which prevented the advance of the 7th Street 2-track alignment for the last 6 years even though London had proved that such and alignment had 50% more capacity (12 trains/hour vs 8) with half the platforms (3 vs 6) five years earlier (April 2012 Olympics).
"Only the study performed by **Parsons and Carl Wood for TJPA** included perturbation analysis" (<https://tjpa.org/uploads/2009/07/Train-Operations-Analysis-of-Two-versus-Three-Mainline-Tracks-Oct-17.pdf> slide 5).
- **Parsons Transportation Group were responsible for a 5-year Caltrain PTC implementation delay** (missed Federal deadlines in 2015 and 2018) until a member of the public recommended that the Caltrain Board award the PTC signaling contract to Wabtec, the lowest bidder back in 2011.
- **The recommended delivery method for the main civil construction package is "progressive design build"** and the FTA are not expected to approve entry into the engineering phase until August at the earliest so it is unclear why staff are recommending "accelerating" utility relocations, demolitions and site clearance to 60-90% design instead of developing an RFP for the eventual progressive design builder who will be responsible for designing and building the project, including utility relocations, demolitions and site clearance.

Recommendation

- 1) Terminate the Parsons general engineering contract effective immediately for cause
- 2) Direct staff to develop an RFP for a progressive design build contract for the 7th Street alignment

Respectfully presented for your consideration

Roland Lebrun

From: Roland Lebrun
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 11:28 AM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>
Cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SFCTA Board Secretary <clerk@sfcta.org>; MTABoard@SFMTA.com <MTABoard@SFMTA.com>; Caltrain Board <board@caltrain.com>; Transbay Info <info@tjpa.org>; SFCTA CAC <cac@sfcta.org>; cac@sfmta.com <cac@sfmta.com>; TJPA CAC <CAC@TJPA.org>; Caltrain CAC Secretary <cacsecretary@caltrain.com>
Subject: SFCTA Board Item 12 Public Comment DTX Governance

Dear Chair Mandelman and Commissioners,

The intent of this email is to substantiate and elaborate on the concerns I expressed with the latest DTX cost estimates and funding plan.

The attached Department of Transportation FY 22 Mega Grant Rankings document includes a TJPA application for a \$900M grant which lists the following statutory requirements for a project to be selected for award:

1. *The project is likely to generate national or regional economic, mobility, or safety benefits (49 USC 6701(f))*
2. *The project is in need of significant Federal funding (49 USC 6701(f))*
3. ***The project will be cost-effective (49 USC 6701(f))***
4. ***With respect to related non-Federal financial commitments, 1 or more stable and dependable sources of funding and financing are available to (i) construct, operate, and maintain the project; and (ii) cover cost increases (49 USC 6701(f))***
5. ***The applicant has, or will have, sufficient legal, financial, and technical capacity to carry out the project (49 USC 6701(f))***
6. *The applicant submitted, together with the grant application, a data collection and analysis plan that meets the requirements in 49 USC 6701(g)*

Line 34 on page 1 of the report shows that the TJPA received an Overall Rating of “Not Recommended” because it failed to meet statutory requirements 4 & 5 above. Please note that the Estimated Project Cost at the time of the application was \$4.9B and that the revised cost estimate of \$6.7B will exceed \$7B **once financing is included**. It is therefore reasonable to assume that the next application for a Mega grant will fail to meet the cost-effectiveness requirement (3 above).

The root cause of these issues appears to lie with the TJPA’s lack of “**technical capacity to carry out the project**”, specifically that the TJPA’s consultant have been unable to conceive let alone develop a cost-effective solution that makes it possible to connect the Transit Center to Embarcadero **while preserving SIX thru tracks with 1,400-foot platforms**.

Conclusion

I believe that the time has come to revisit the DTX governance structure adopted by the Board last year and transition to a model whereby the TJPA remains accountable but **assigns project delivery responsibility to a TJPA member agency with a proven track record of designing and delivering cost-effective twin-bore tunnels on time and on budget under downtown San Francisco**.

Respectfully presented for your consideration.

Roland Lebrun

Institutional Options Analysis: Alternatives



Alternative Family	Alternative	Structure Overview
1. TJPA Delivery	1.1 Baseline/Conventional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA accountable and responsible for project delivery using staff and consultants, and cooperation of partners Oversight provided by TJPA Board, staff, and funder oversight protocols Current Peninsula Rail MOU sunsets; bi-lateral intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) developed between TJPA and partner agencies
	1.2 Integrated Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA accountable and responsible for project delivery using staff and consultants, and involvement of partners Organizational structure further developed to provide management oversight, alignment of multi-agency actions, and project coordination Multi-lateral and/or bi-lateral agreements among/between DTX partner agencies
2. TJPA Accountable but assigns Project Delivery Responsibility	2.1 Assignment to TJPA member agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high-level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to TJPA member agency
	2.2 Assignment to non-member agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to agency other than TJPA member
	2.3 Assignment to special purpose entity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TJPA takes high level oversight role and retains accountability Project delivery responsibility assigned to a newly-created special purpose entity (not currently in existence)
3. Transfer Accountability	3.1 Upload to newly-created regional agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project delivery accountability and responsibility is transferred to a regional project delivery agency (not currently in existence)

- SFCTA Board of Directors
- SFMTA Board of Directors
- Caltrain Board of Directors
- TJPA Board of Directors
- SFCTA CAC
- SFMTA CAC
- TJPA CAC
- Caltrain CAC